

COE CST Tenth Annual Technical Meeting

Task 186: Space Environment MMOD Modeling and Prediction

Sigrid Close and Nicolas Lee
Students: Lorenzo Limonta
(and Glenn Sugar)
Stanford University



Center of Excellence for
Commercial Space Transportation



Agenda



- Team Members
- Task Description
- Goals
- Results
- Conclusions and Future Work

Team Members

- **PI: Sigrid Close**
- **Research Staff: Nicolas Lee**



- **Graduate Students**
 - Lorenzo Limonta
 - Glenn Sugar

- **Collaborators**
 - University of Western Ontario
 - NASA Marshall Space Flight Center



Task Description

- **Spacecraft are routinely impacted by meteoroids and orbital debris (MOD)**
 - Mechanical damage: “well-known”, larger (> 120 microns), rare
 - Electrical damage: “unknown”, smaller/fast, more numerous



- **Growing need to characterize MOD down to smaller sizes and provide predictive threat assessment**

Meteoroids and Orbital Debris

- **Meteoroids**

- **Speeds**

- 11 to 72.8 km/s (interplanetary)
 - 30-60 km/s (average)

- **Densities**

- $\leq 1 \text{ g/cm}^3$ (icy) or $> 1 \text{ g/cm}^3$ (rocky/stony)

- **Sizes**

- $< 0.3 \text{ m}$ (meteoroid)
 - $< 62 \text{ }\mu\text{m}$ (dust)



- **Orbital debris**

- **Speeds in LEO**


- $< 12 \text{ km/s}$
 - 7-10 km/s (average)

- **Densities**

- $> 2 \text{ g/cm}^3$


- **Sizes**

- $< 10 \text{ cm}$ (small)




Goals




- Particle impacts in atmosphere: **probability of impact**
- Particle impacts on spacecraft: **effects of impact**



Methodology






Results: Neutral Densities



Results: Ionization and Luminous Efficiency

$$\frac{dm_m}{dt} = \frac{\mu q v}{\beta} \quad I = \frac{\tau}{2} \frac{d(m_m v^2)}{dt}$$



Publications and Presentations

PUBLICATIONS

Sugar, G., M. M. Oppenheim, Y. S. Dimant and S. Close (2018), “Formation of plasma Around a small meteoroid: Simulation and theory”, JGR Space Physics, Vol. 123(5), pp. 4080–4093, <https://doi.org/10.1002/2018JA025265>.

Sugar, G., M. M. Oppenheim, Y. S. Dimant and S. Close (2019), “Formation of plasma around a small meteoroid: Electrostatic simulations”, JGR Space Physics, Vol. 124(5), pp. 3810–3826, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2018JA026434>.

Limonta, L., Close, S., and Marshall, R.A. (2020), A technique for inferring lower thermospheric neutral density from meteoroid ablation, Planetary and Space Science, Vol. 180, 104735, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pss.2019.104735>.

Limonta, L. (2018), “Experimentation and Simulation of Meteoroid Ablation”, Ph.D. Thesis, Stanford University, purl.stanford.edu/wh601yb5230.

Sugar, G. (2019), “Meteoroid Mass from Head Echoes Using Particle-in-cell and Finite-difference Time-domain Simulations”, Ph.D. Thesis, Stanford University, purl.stanford.edu/nz604gp3764.

PRESENTATIONS

American Astronomical Society (Invited), April 2017

Conclusions and Future Work

- **Characterize ablation parameters of MOD**

- Meteoroid: remote sensing of plasma and scattering model provides flux, mass, bulk density and neutral density
- Space debris: remote sensing of particles and shape modeling provides flux, mass
- Simultaneous optical-radar experiments provide cross calibration of ionization and luminous efficiency

- **Future work**

- Continue to refine new neutral density estimation algorithm
- Apply orbital dynamics to correlate bulk density with source