#### COE CST First Annual Technical Meeting:

#### Autonomous Rendezvous & Docking Penina Axelrad



*November 10, 2011* 



#### **Overview**

- Team Members
- Purpose of Task
- Research Methodology
- Results or Schedule & Milestones
- Next Steps
- Contact Information



## **AR&D Team**

- CU Basis for requirements, standards and methods
- Florida State Approach trajectories
- Stanford Target pose and shape sensing
- U of Florida Post capture operations
- Identifying and addressing key technology gaps



## **Team Members**

Current

- Penina Axelrad, CU
- Holly Borowski, PhD Student, CU, Aerospace Engineering Sciences (Summer 2011)
- + Planned
- Draper Lab, Ball Aerospace, LMCO
- Stanford (Todd Walter)
- IIT (Boris Pervan)



## **Purpose of Task**

- Purpose Develop a framework to enable licensing of multiple vendor vehicle systems that will make LEO orbital rendezvous and docking a routine and safe activity.
- **Objectives** Define requirements and identify critical safety and technological issues for each phase of AR&D timeline; identify technology gaps and viable system alternatives
- Goals Construct a draft basis for standards for AR&D of vehicles in LEO encompassing approach trajectories, sensing, estimation, guidance and control, human interaction, and reliability.



## **Research Methodology**

First year is a small-scale (\$17K) effort to construct a roadmap for the overall project

- Review relevant aspects of the state-of-the-art in LEO rendezvous and docking, UAV formation flying and mid-air refueling, aircraft landing
- Establish AR&D mission phases and classes of requirements and risks for each
- Identify critical systems, technologies, and concepts required
- Organize and plan research tasks that will lead to comprehensive basis for standards at the end of 5 years



#### **Roadmap for Commercial LEO AR&D**

- Identify stages, requirements & risks for commercial LEO AR&D
- Evaluate the maturity of key technologies
- Develop requirements flow down (technology pull)
- Look at promising technologies that can enhance performance, safety, robustness, reliability (technology push)
- Identify connections to other FAA activities including aircraft collision avoidance, UAV flight rules, mid-air refueling, and space situational awareness
- Draft plan for bringing the pieces together over a 5 year period to form the basis for standards development



# **AR&D Phases & Technologies**

#### AR&D Phases

- Phasing (>5 km)
- Homing
- Closing (few km to 250m)
- Final approach (<250m)
- Docking (vehicle dimension)

#### **AR&D** Technologies

- •Sensors and algorithms
- •Guidance and control algorithms and actuators
- •Software real-time
- onboard mission manager and flight software
- Docking/capture systems



#### **Commercial, LEO AR&D considerations**

- Manned or unmanned
- Automated or autonomous
- Target geometry known or unknown
- Target cooperative or non-cooperative
- Target attitude controlled or uncontrolled
- Number of vehicles two or more
- Duration long (multi-orbit) or short



#### **Results or Schedule/Milestones**

- Initial literature search completed, summary of existing AR&D approaches compiled.
- Key mission phases defined and relevant technology elements and some risks for each identified.
- Met with potential industrial collaborators from Ball Aerospace who provided information on sensor development and experiments.



# **Next Steps**

- Coordinate with COE partners
- Meet with other industrial potential partners
- Develop draft roadmap and proposal for 3 year project



# **Contact Information**

- Penny Axelrad
- penina.axelrad@colorado.edu
- 303.492.6872

